

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: A303 plans
Date: 04 April 2022 18:48:44

Dear sir,

I'm asking that you re-examine the consent order for the A303 Road. My concerns about this continuing proposal are as follows.

I do not believe that there has been fully assessed and in depth alternatives to these plans, too much is at stake for this to be taken lightly. Great Britain is the envy of the world for our Stone Henge Heritage site, the area is widely known as a sacred land, it is not just the part that we see on the top of the land with Stone Henge in all its glory but, all the area far below it. The whole area as far as the eye can see is all a very important part of our English History. We are guardians of our children's future history, once it is gone, it will be gone forever, there is no way back once roads are built, in fact, this will only be the start of more roads and all we need do is look back at our road building history to see that this is so sadly true. Surely there are less damaging routes that can be looked into? This should not be rushed into hastily as the long term damage will be irrevocable.

I thought the idea was to minimise our carbon footprint, not increase it in areas of beauty and history. We should be concerned on climate change and I do not think that this plan is going to be helping our planet improve in that area. I wonder why the National Highways have not updated their scheme costs, why not? Surely this is important to know especially since the last update was in October 2019? We are now in the beginnings of 2022 having gone through a pandemic, wars and rumours of wars, an unstable economy that no one knows for sure how things will go either way, new roads need looking into very carefully and with a lot of wisdom and thought as to the long term financial and National implications.

Why was the carbon assessment and costs also not updated? Sometimes, it's not what is said that I listen to but what is omitted that I have concerns about as I always wonder why they have not been updated. If it is such a good 'so called plan' then all information needs to be put honestly on the table, all updated information, shouldn't it?

Finally, I noticed that the National Highways did not respond to the Secretary of States comment on the proposed Western cutting would be.....significantly adverse.....?
Still no comment on the NH in response to this very important point. As I said before, it is the silence on the important issues that need looking into further.

Thank you for your time in reading my responses.

Kind regards Mrs June Golding

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad